

Engineer/Architect/Surveyor Rating Sheet

Grant Recipient FXSA

Name of Respondent _____
 Evaluator's Name Linderela Guekwa

TxCDBG Contract No. 7215409
 Date of Rating 03-21-2016

Experience -- Rate the respondent for experience in the following areas:

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	Comments
1. Has previously designed _____ type of projects	20	<u>20</u>	
2. Has worked on federally funded construction projects	10	<u>10</u>	
3. Has worked on projects that were located in this general region.	10	<u>10</u>	
Note: Location for A/E (Architect/Engineer) may be a selection criterion provided its application leaves an appropriate number of qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, to compete for the contract. 2 CFR 200.319(b)			
4. Extent of experience in project construction management	15	<u>9</u>	
5. Current Certification of TxCDBG Project Implementation Training	5	<u>14</u> <u>5</u>	
Subtotal, Experience	<u>60</u>	<u>58</u>	

Work Performance

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Past projects completed on schedule	10	<u>8</u>
2. Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	<u>4</u>
3. Work product is of high quality	10	<u>10</u>
Subtotal, Performance	<u>25</u>	<u>22</u>

NOTE: Information necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients.

Capacity to Perform

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5	<u>4</u>
2. Adequacy of Resources	5	<u>5</u>
3. Professional liability insurance is in force	5	<u>5</u>
Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	<u>15</u>	<u>14</u>

TOTAL SCORE

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
<input type="checkbox"/> Experience	60	<u>58</u>	<u>58</u> <u>22</u> <u>14</u> <hr/> <u>94</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Work Performance	25	<u>25</u>	
<input type="checkbox"/> Capacity to Perform	15	<u>10</u>	
Total Score	<u>100</u>	<u>90</u>	

FISA

Engineer/Architect/Surveyor Rating Sheet

Grant Recipient PRESIDIAC
 Name of Respondent FRANK SPANGLER
 Evaluator's Name LOUETTA VASAL

TxCDBG Contract No. 7215409
 Date of Rating _____

Experience -- Rate the respondent for experience in the following areas:

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	Comments
1. Has previously designed _____ type of projects	20	<u>18</u>	
2. Has worked on federally funded construction projects	10	<u>9</u>	
3. Has worked on projects that were located in this general region.	10	<u>9</u>	
Note: Location for A/E (Architect/Engineer) may be a selection criterion provided its application leaves an appropriate number of qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, to compete for the contract. 2 CFR 200.319(b)			
4. Extent of experience in project construction management	15	<u>14</u>	
5. Current Certification of TxCDBG Project Implementation Training	5	<u>4</u>	
Subtotal, Experience	<u>60</u>	<u>54</u>	

Work Performance

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Past projects completed on schedule	10	<u>7</u>
2. Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	<u>3</u>
3. Work product is of high quality	10	<u>7</u>
Subtotal, Performance	<u>25</u>	<u>17</u>

NOTE: information necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients.

Capacity to Perform

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5	<u>3</u>
2. Adequacy of Resources	5	<u>3</u>
3. Professional liability insurance is in force	5	<u>3</u>
Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	<u>15</u>	<u>9</u>

TOTAL SCORE

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
<input type="checkbox"/> Experience	60	<u>54</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Work Performance	25	<u>17</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Capacity to Perform	15	<u>9</u>
Total Score	<u>100</u>	<u>80</u>

Engineer/Architect/Surveyor Rating Sheet

Grant Recipient Presidio County TxCDBG Contract No. 7215409
 Name of Respondent Frank X. Spensley & Associates Date of Rating 3-21-16
 Evaluator's Name Eloy Aranda

Experience -- Rate the respondent for experience in the following areas:

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	Comments
1. Has previously designed _____ type of projects	20	<u>10</u>	
2. Has worked on federally funded construction projects	10	<u>10</u>	
3. Has worked on projects that were located in this general region.	10	<u>10</u>	
Note: Location for A/E (Architect/Engineer) may be a selection criterion provided its application leaves an appropriate number of qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, to compete for the contract. 2 CFR 200.319(b)			
4. Extent of experience in project construction management	15	<u>5</u>	
5. Current Certification of TxCDBG Project Implementation Training	5	<u>5</u>	
Subtotal, Experience	60	<u>35</u>	

Work Performance

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	Comments
1. Past projects completed on schedule	10	<u>2</u>	
2. Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	<u>2</u>	
3. Work product is of high quality	10	<u>2</u>	
Subtotal, Performance	25	<u>6</u>	

NOTE: Information necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients.

Capacity to Perform

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	Comments
1. Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5	<u>2</u>	
2. Adequacy of Resources	5	<u>2</u>	
3. Professional liability insurance is in force	5	<u>5</u>	
Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	<u>9</u>	

TOTAL SCORE

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
<input type="checkbox"/> Experience	60	<u>35</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Work Performance	25	<u>6</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Capacity to Perform	15	<u>9</u>
Total Score	100	<u>50</u>

Engineer/Architect/Surveyor Rating Sheet

Grant Recipient Presidio County
 Name of Respondent FXSA
 Evaluator's Name Jim White

TxCDBG Contract No. 7215409
 Date of Rating _____

Experience -- Rate the respondent for experience in the following areas:

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	Comments
1. Has previously designed _____ type of projects	20	<u>20</u>	
2. Has worked on federally funded construction projects	10	<u>9</u>	
3. Has worked on projects that were located in this general region.	10	<u>8</u>	
Note: Location for A/E (Architect/Engineer) may be a selection criterion provided its application leaves an appropriate number of qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, to compete for the contract. 2 CFR 200.319(b)			
4. Extent of experience in project construction management	15	<u>14</u>	
5. Current Certification of TxCDBG Project Implementation Training	5	<u>5</u>	
Subtotal, Experience	60	<u>56</u>	

Work Performance

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Past projects completed on schedule	10	<u>9</u>
2. Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	<u>4</u>
3. Work product is of high quality	10	<u>9</u>
Subtotal, Performance	25	<u>22</u>

NOTE: Information necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients.

Capacity to Perform

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5	<u>5</u>
2. Adequacy of Resources	5	<u>5</u>
3. Professional liability insurance is in force	5	<u>5</u>
Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	<u>15</u>

TOTAL SCORE

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
<input type="checkbox"/> Experience	60	60	56
<input type="checkbox"/> Work Performance	25	25	22
<input type="checkbox"/> Capacity to Perform	15	15	15
Total Score	100	92	<u>93</u>

Engineer/Architect/Surveyor Rating Sheet

Grant Recipient _____
 Name of Respondent EXSIA
 Evaluator's Name Lorenzo

TxCDBG Contract No. 7215409
 Date of Rating _____

Experience -- Rate the respondent for experience in the following areas:

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	Comments
1. Has previously designed _____ type of projects	20	<u>19</u>	
2. Has worked on federally funded construction projects	10	<u>9</u>	
3. Has worked on projects that were located in this general region.	10	<u>9</u>	
Note: Location for A/E (Architect/Engineer) may be a selection criterion provided its application leaves an appropriate number of qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, to compete for the contract. 2 CFR 200.319(b)			
4. Extent of experience in project construction management	15	<u>10</u>	
5. Current Certification of TxCDBG Project Implementation Training	5	<u>14</u>	
Subtotal, Experience	60	<u>3</u> <u>55</u>	

Work Performance

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Past projects completed on schedule	10	<u>8</u>
2. Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	<u>6</u>
3. Work product is of high quality	10	<u>6</u>
Subtotal, Performance	25	<u>20</u>

NOTE: Information necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients.

Capacity to Perform

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5	<u>4</u>
2. Adequacy of Resources	5	<u>4</u>
3. Professional liability insurance is in force	5	<u>3</u>
Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	<u>11</u>

TOTAL SCORE

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
<input type="checkbox"/> Experience	60	<u>55</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Work Performance	25	<u>20</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Capacity to Perform	15	<u>11</u>
Total Score	100	<u>86</u>